

<b>Item No.</b> N/a	<b>Classification:</b> Open	<b>Date:</b> 3 July 2019	<b>Decision Taker:</b> Cabinet Member for Growth, Development and Planning
<b>Report title:</b>		Neighbourhood Planning – Decision following receipt of Examiner’s report on South Bank and Waterloo Neighbourhood Development Plan	
<b>Ward(s) or groups affected:</b>		Borough and Bankside; St. George’s	
<b>From:</b>		Strategic Director of Place and Wellbeing	

## RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Cabinet Member for Growth, Development and Planning:

1. Notes the Examiner’s report on the draft South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood development plan and the Examiner’s recommendation and his proposed modifications.
2. Agrees the response to each of the Examiner’s recommendations and the reasons for those recommendations, as set out in Table 1 of Appendix C.
3. Agrees the proposed modifications to the draft South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood development plan set out in Tables 1 and 2 of Appendix C.
4. Agrees that, subject in each instance set out in this recommendation at (i) to (iv) to the modifications set out in Tables 1 and 2 of Appendix C (including any further amendments to those Tables arising from recommendation (6) , the draft South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood forum plan: (i) meets the basic conditions as provided for in paragraph 8 (2) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990; (ii) complies with the relevant provisions of sections 38A and 28B of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004; (iii) is compatible with the European Convention on Human Rights and (iv) should be sent to two referendums (residential and business).
5. Agrees that the area for referendum (residential and business) to be the designated South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood area.
6. Grants delegated authority to the Director of Planning to publish a decision statement in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 including taking such steps as are necessary in order to be able to finalise the detailed wording of the modifications set out in Tables 1 and 2 of Appendix C.
7. Grants delegated authority to the Director of Planning, the carrying out of all necessary arrangements for and in connection with the holding of two referendums (residential and business), on the making of the draft South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood development plan including taking such steps as are necessary for the conduct of cross border referendums.

## **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

8. The Localism Act 2011 (by amending the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 ('the 1990 Act')) introduced new provisions which empower parish councils and designated Neighbourhood Forums ('NFs') to initiate the process for making Neighbourhood Development Orders and Neighbourhood Development Plans in relation to designated Neighbourhood Areas. The powers came into force on 6 April 2012 when the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 ("the 2012 Regulations") came into force.
9. A Neighbourhood Plan is a plan which sets out policies in relation to the development and use of land in the whole, or part of, a Neighbourhood Area. It may contain a range of policies or proposals for land use development that will carry weight in the determination of planning applications. Neighbourhood Development Orders grant planning permission in relation to a particular Neighbourhood Area for development specified in the Order or for a class of development specified in the Order. Both Neighbourhood Plans and Neighbourhood Development Orders must be in general conformity with the strategic policies in the development plan for the relevant area.
10. SoWN was first designated as a Neighbourhood Forum for the South Bank and Waterloo Neighbourhood Area in March 2014, it renewed its forum status in February 2019. The neighbourhood area, straddles the borough boundary with Lambeth, the greater part falls within Lambeth.
11. The draft neighbourhood development plan ("NDP") was submitted to Lambeth and Southwark in August 2018 (see Appendix A). On 18 October 2018 Southwark made the decision that the draft NDP could be formally published and submitted to examination under Regulations 16 and 17 of the 2012 Regulations. The draft NDP was formally published between 8 November and 20 December 2018 and a total of 27 representations were made and submitted to the Examiner.
12. In agreement with SoWN, Christopher Lockhart-Mummery QC was appointed by Lambeth and Southwark to undertake the examination of the draft NDP. Following the re-designation of the neighbourhood forum on 19 February 2019, the examination formally commenced on 26 February 2019.
13. The Examiner's report was received on 28 May 2019 (see Appendix B).
14. The Council is now required to consider each of the Examiner's recommendations, and the reasons for those recommendations, and decide what action to take in response. The Council also needs to decide whether the draft NDP should proceed to referendum. If it does so decide, because the neighbourhood area is a designated business area, two referendums will be required: one for residents and one for businesses. Lambeth as the local planning authority for the remainder of the neighbourhood area will carry out its own decision-making process.

## **Neighbourhood Plan preparation stages**

15. Under regulation (8) of the 2012 Regulations, where an organisation or body submits a neighbourhood forum application, it must include a series of supporting documents. It also needs to demonstrate that the application complies with requirements set out in section 61F(5) of the 1990 Act.
16. If a body or organisation is designated as a Neighbourhood Forum for a particular Neighbourhood Area, it is authorised to act in relation to that Area for the purposes of promoting a Neighbourhood Plan/Order.
17. Once a Neighbourhood Forum has been designated, it may submit a proposal to the local planning authority for the making of a Neighbourhood Plan or Neighbourhood Development Order, which will be submitted to independent examination. If, following that examination, the Council is satisfied that the draft Plan/Order meets the requisite conditions, the Council must hold (and pay for) a referendum on the making of the Plan/Order.
18. The area, in which the referendum takes place must, as a minimum, be the Neighbourhood Area to which the proposed Plan/Order relates. The independent examiner considering the proposal may also consider whether the area for any referendum should extend beyond the Neighbourhood Area to which the draft Plan/Order relates.
19. If more than 50% of people voting in the referendum support the Plan or Order, then the local planning authority must bring it into force.

## **KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION**

### **Proposal and Reasons**

*Statutory framework for the holding of an examination and referendum relating to a draft neighbourhood development plan*

20. The statutory requirements to be with complied with for the examination of a draft NDP are set out in the 1990 Act, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 ('the 2004 Act') and the 2012 Regulations. Section 38A(3) of the 2004 Act states that Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act, which makes provision about the process for the making of neighbourhood development orders, is to apply in relation to neighbourhood development plans (subject to the modifications set out in section 38C(5) of the 2004 Act).
21. Examinations of draft NDPs generally take place on paper and it is for the Examiner to decide whether a hearing is necessary. Under paragraph 8 of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as modified by the 2004 Act), the Examiner must consider the following:
  - a) Whether the draft NDP meets the basic conditions, which are specified in paragraph 8(2);
  - b) Whether the draft NDP complies with sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act;
  - c) Whether the area for any referendum should extend beyond the neighbourhood area to which the draft NDP relates; and
  - d) Such other matters as may be prescribed.

22. The basic conditions that a draft NDP must meet are:
- a) Having regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State, it is appropriate to make the NDP;
  - b) The making of the NDP contributes to the achievement of sustainable development;
  - c) The making of the NDP is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area);
  - d) The making of the NDP does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations; and
  - e) Prescribed conditions are met in relation to the NDP and prescribed matters have been complied with in connection with the proposal for the NDP. These 'prescribed conditions' and 'prescribed matters' are set out in secondary legislation, and in relation to an NDP are concerned with provisions for habitat assessment.
23. The Examiner must produce a report on the draft NDP which contains one of the following recommendations:
- a) That the draft NDP is submitted to a referendum; or
  - b) That modifications specified in the report are made to the draft NDP and the draft NDP as modified is submitted to a referendum; or
  - c) That the proposal for the NDP is refused.
24. The only modifications that the Examiner can recommend are:
- a) Modifications the Examiner considers need to be made to secure that the draft NDP meets the basic conditions;
  - b) Modifications that the Examiner considers need to be made to secure that the draft NDP is compatible with the Convention rights (references to the 'Convention rights' refer to how that term is used in the Human Rights Act 1998, that is, it is a reference to the rights contained in the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), which is a European provision);
  - c) Modifications that the Examiner considers need to be made to secure that the draft NDP complies with the provision made by or under sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act; and
  - d) Modifications for the purpose of correcting errors.
25. Following the receipt of the Examiner's report, the local planning authority must consider each of the recommendations made in the Examiner's report and decide what action to take in response to each recommendation. Under regulation 17A of the 2012 Regulations the timescale in which the local planning authority is required to decide what action to take in response to each recommendation is 5 weeks from the date of receipt of the Examiner's report.
26. Under paragraph 12(4) of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act, if the local planning authority is satisfied that:
- a) the draft NDP meets the basic conditions, is compatible with the Convention rights and complies with the provision made by or under sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act; or

- b) the draft NDP would meet those conditions, be compatible with those rights and comply with that provision if modifications were to be made to the draft NDP (whether or not recommended by the Examiner), a referendum must be held on the making by the authority of the NDP. Where modifications are proposed, the draft plan on which any referendum is held will be the draft NDP subject to the modifications that the local planning authority considers appropriate (paragraph 12(5) of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act) (and the proposed modifications are set out in this report at Appendix C).
27. The power of the local planning authority to propose modifications is limited by the legislation. The only modifications that the local planning authority can make are:
- a) Modifications considered necessary to ensure the NDP meets the basic conditions;
  - b) Modifications considered necessary to ensure the NDP is compatible with the Convention rights;
  - c) Modifications considered necessary to ensure the NDP complies with provision made by or under sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act; and
  - d) Modifications for the purposes of correcting errors.
28. As the SoWN neighbourhood area is a designated business area, in the event that the local planning authority considers the draft NDP should proceed to referendum, there would need to be two referendums in accordance with paragraphs 12(4), 14 and 15 of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act: one for residents in the referendum area and one for businesses in the referendum area. Regulation 2A of the Neighbourhood Planning (Referendums) Regulations 2012 (“the 2012 Referendums Regulations”) requires the referendums to be held on or before 84 days from the date the decision referred to in paragraph 56 is first published or such other date as may be agreed with SoWN.
29. The area in which the referendums are to take place must, as a minimum, be the neighbourhood area to which the proposed NDP relates. If the local planning authority considers it appropriate to do so, they may extend the referendum area to include other areas (whether or not those areas fall wholly or partly outside the authority’s area). If the local planning authority decides to extend the referendum area, they must publish a map of the referendum area.
30. As the referendum area is spread across Lambeth and Southwark, both councils will need to ensure any notices or documents which are required to be published, are done so on the same day and in the same manner.

*Examination of the draft South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood development plan*

31. In accordance with Regulation 17 of the 2012 Regulations, the following documents were submitted to the Examiner on 26 February 2019:
- a) The draft NDP;
  - b) The consultation statement;
  - c) The basic conditions statement;
  - d) The Strategic Environmental Assessment screening report;
  - e) The Habitats Regulations Assessment screening report; and
  - f) The representations received during the publication of the draft NDP.

32. The Examiner asked the local planning authorities and SoWN for further information about specific points. On 10 April 2019, the Examiner advised that the examination would take place without the need for a hearing or explanatory meeting.
33. The Examiner provided his report in draft format for the purposes of fact-checking by the local planning authorities and by SoWN. The Examiner's report was received by the Council on 28 May 2019. It was published on the Council's website on 31 May 2019.
34. The Examiner's report recommends that the draft NDP should be submitted to referendum, subject to the modifications recommended in the report. It also recommends that the referendum area should not extend beyond the neighbourhood area.
35. The following recommendations have been made by the Examiner:
  - The NDP should set out that the neighbourhood area is a designated business area.
  - The NDP should make clear that its policies should be read alongside Local Plan policies.
  - The map of the neighbourhood area should be amended to show the Opportunity Area and the Central Activities Zone.
  - References to the number of residents in the neighbourhood area should be amended.
  - Policy P1 (open space) should be amended to remove reference to only applying to publically-accessible open space.
  - Policy P2 (open space) should be amended to make clear that major developments that intensify pressure, to a material degree, on existing publically open space should contribute to improvement to open space in a manner or extent relevant to the development.
  - Policy P3 (green roofs) should be amended to ensure that green flat roofs are supported, subject to the character of the surrounding built form and that they should be accessible, subject to safety and amenity considerations. The requirement for developers to retrofit roofs if they can't meet those requirements should be deleted.
  - Policy P4 (amenity space and trees) should be amended to set out that the proposed amenity standards for all major developments are encouraged rather than required to ensure the policy is less prescriptive. The policy should be amended to make clear that the loss of amenity in surrounding open space should be mitigated on a case by case basis, rather than through the proposed formula. The tree policy should be amended.
  - Policy P5 (air quality) should be amended to make reference to cycling and should make clear that the development of Waterloo station should demonstrate that measures capable of being regulated by development

management to reduce emissions from diesel vehicles have been investigated.

- Policy P7 and Policy P8 (affordable housing) and their supporting text should be deleted as the approach to affordable housing in the neighbourhood area is not consistent with national and Local Plan policies.
  - Policy P9 (affordable housing) should be amended to make clear that off-site affordable housing will only be supported in exceptional circumstances.
  - Policy P10 (hotels) should be amended to make clear that the objectives for hotel developments are supported rather than a requirement to make the policy less prescriptive.
  - Policy P11 (anti-graffiti coatings) should be amended to clarify where buildings will be expected to be treated with a permanent anti-graffiti coating.
  - Supporting text to Policy P12 (retail units) should be deleted to remove reference to affordable retail units which is not supported by evidence.
  - Policy P13 (mix of uses in Lower Marsh/The Cut) should be amended to make clear the thresholds for A1/A3 apply to Lower Marsh only.
  - Policy P14 (offices and workspace) should be amended to remove reference to only supporting office or workspace in 'appropriate parts of the area' to be consistent with Local Plan policies.
  - Supporting text to Policy P16 (community uses) should be amended to make clear that any proposals seeking the redevelopment of identified sites should include the full provision of the community use on the same site.
  - Policy P17 (Leake Street) should be amended to support cultural uses on adjoining units to Leake Street and under Waterloo Station.
  - Policy P19 (maintenance and management of surrounding area) should be amended to make clear that the approach to securing money for management and maintenance are subject to the requirements of regulations 122 and 123 of the CIL Regulations.
  - Policy P20 (use of NCIL) and supporting text should be deleted because it is not a policy for the development and use of land and would constrain the discretion of each local planning authority on how it should spend its CIL.
  - The tables summarising the local issues and policies should be amended to reflect modifications to policies and supporting text.
36. The Examiner made no comment or recommendation in relation to policies P6, P15 and P18.

*Consideration of the Examiner's recommendations on the draft South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood development plan*

37. Officers have considered each of the Examiner's recommendations and set out a proposed response to each of the recommendations. This is contained in Table 1, Appendix C. Officers accept the reasoning provided by the Examiner for each of his proposed modifications. In officers' assessment the Examiner's recommendations should be carried forward to the version of the draft NDP that is put to referendum. Subject to those modifications, officers consider that the draft NDP meets the relevant requirements identified above and should proceed to referendum.
38. Officers are seeking a delegation in order to be able to finalise the detailed wording of the proposed modifications set out in Table 1, in order to ensure that they can finalise the detailed wording of the modifications in case any minor corrections are required.

*Further modifications proposed by Lambeth*

39. One minor amendment is proposed to the introductory sections of the draft NDP to correct an error. This is set out in Table 2, Appendix C.

*Does the draft NDP meet the basic conditions?*

40. Officers consider that the draft NDP, with the modifications set out in Appendix C meets the basic conditions. This assessment is set out in Appendix D.

*Does the draft NDP comply with the Convention rights?*

41. Noting in particular the provisions of Article 8 of the ECHR concerning rights to private/home life, and the terms of the policies proposed in the draft NDP in particular in relation to housing, officers consider that the draft NDP, with the modifications set out in Appendix C, is compatible with the Convention rights.

*Does the draft NDP comply with the provisions made by or under sections 38A and 38B of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004?*

42. The legislation does not spell out what exactly is meant by 'provisions made by or under' sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act, with the result that the local planning authorities need to identify what they consider the applicable requirements are at any particular stage in the neighbourhood planning process.
43. Certain 'provisions made by or under' sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act relate to whether the proposal is a 'repeat proposal' (such proposals may 'fail' at the formal submission stage); whether the package of draft documents formally submitted to the local planning authority is complete and whether pre-submission consultation has been correctly carried out by the neighbourhood forum. Compliance with those requirements in this case was assessed at the earlier formal submission stage where it was considered that the requirements were satisfied. The Examiner has identified the specific provisions under sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act that the draft NDP needs to comply with at the examination stage. Officers have assessed whether the draft NDP complies with the relevant requirements of sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act. This

assessment is set out in Appendix E and concludes the draft NDP, subject to the modifications set out in Appendix C, meets these requirements.

*Should the referendum area be extended beyond the neighbourhood area?*

44. Officers agree with the Examiner's recommendation that the area for the referendums should not extend beyond the neighbourhood area. Taking account of the content of the draft NDP, nothing has emerged during the examination process or in the neighbourhood planning process in Southwark more generally that points towards there being any justification for the referendums to be conducted over a wider area.

*Conclusion arising from the examination process*

45. Officers recommend that all of the Examiner's recommendations to modify the draft NDP are accepted. It is considered that subject to those modifications, the draft NDP meets the basic conditions, complies with the provisions of sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act and complies with the Convention rights.
46. On this basis it is recommended that the draft NDP, with the modifications set out in Appendix C, proceeds to two referendums: one for businesses and one for residents. It is recommended that the area for the referendums is the designated neighbourhood area.
47. Officers are seeking a delegation to enable them to prepare and publish a decision statement about the matters that are covered by the recommendations in this report. This formal decision statement is required by Regulation 18 of the 2012 Regulations.

*Arrangements for the conduct on the referendums*

48. If officers' recommendation that the draft NDP should proceed to referendum is agreed, arrangements will then need to be made for the conduct of the necessary referendums. There are separate regulations that govern the timing and conduct of neighbourhood planning referendums: the 2012 Referendums Regulations.
49. In cross-border cases, or where a business referendum is required in addition to a residential referendum, the basic timescale for the holding of the referendums is 84 days excluding weekend days and public holidays once the decision to hold the referendums is published. This timescale can be dis-applied by agreement with the neighbourhood forum. Officers will need to work to any applicable timescale in making the arrangements for the holding of the referendums. It is anticipated that the referendums would need to be held by late October 2019.
50. Where, as in the case of the SoWN draft NDP, a separate business referendum is also required, there are a number of steps that must be gone through in order to construct the relevant voter registration list before any business voting can take place.
51. Officers are seeking a delegation to enable them to make all necessary arrangements for the holding of the referendums.
52. The outcome of each referendum will be reported in due course.

### *Status of draft neighbourhood development plans pre-referendum*

53. The Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017 amended section 70 of the 1990 Act to require local planning authorities to have regard to a post-examination draft NDP, so far as material to the application, when dealing with planning applications. A draft NDP is a 'post-examination draft NDP' if the local planning authority has decided that the draft plan should proceed to referendum.
54. If officers' recommendations are agreed the SoWN draft NDP will need to be treated as a post-examination draft NDP and treated as a material consideration in development management decisions.

### **SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS**

#### **Director of Law and Democracy**

55. The legislation which sets out the examination process of a NDP and the steps the Council must take following independent examination and receipt of the report of the Examiner are mainly set out in Regulations 17A to 19 of the 2012 Regulations and paragraphs 7 to 13 of Schedule 4B of the 1990 Act. This legislation is explained in further detail in paragraphs 20 to 30 of this report.
56. In accordance with the requirement under paragraph 12 of Schedule 4B of the 1990 Act, officers have had proper regard to the conclusions and recommendations reached by the Examiner and have formed a judgment on how to respond to the Examiner's recommendations. Officers have decided to follow the recommendation made by the Examiner and recommend that the draft NDP, subject to certain modifications, proceeds to referendum. It is noted that under Regulation 17A of the 2012 Regulations the Council has 5 weeks from the date they receive the report of the Examiner to decide what action to take in respect of their recommendations. Officers confirm at paragraph 32 of this report that the Examiner's report was received on 28 May 2019. If a decision is not reached within the timeframe then the Secretary of State may intervene and exercise the functions of the Council in its decision whether or not to accept the recommendations of the Examiner.
57. The Council is required under paragraph 12(11) of Schedule 4B of the 1990 Act to publish their decision on how they will proceed, their reasons for making this decision and such other matters relating to that decision as may be prescribed under the legislation. The Council must send a copy of the matters to be published to SoWN, anyone whose representation was submitted to the Examiner and any consultation body that was previously consulted.
58. If the NDP should proceed to referendum the Council will need to follow the process set out in Schedule 4B of the 1990 Act and the 2012 Referendums Regulations. These requirements are set out in detail at paragraphs 28 to 30 of this report and as such are not duplicated here.
59. The Equality Act 2010 introduced the public sector equality duty, which merged existing race, sex and disability equality duties and extended them to include other protected characteristics; namely age, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, religion and belief and sex and sexual orientation, including marriage and civil partnership. In summary those subject to the equality duty, which includes the Council, must in the exercise of their functions: (i) have due regard to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and

victimisation; and (ii) foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.

60. In addition, the Human Rights Act 1998 imposed a duty on the Council as a public authority to apply the European Convention on Human Rights; as a result the Council must not act in a way which is incompatible with these rights. The most important rights for planning purposes are Article 8 (respect for homes); Article 6 (natural justice) and Article 1 of the First Protocol (peaceful enjoyment of property).
61. The decision in this report is not considered to undermine the objectives of the Equalities Act or to disproportionately interfere with human rights.
62. Paragraph 7 (Part 3 (D)) of the Southwark Constitution provides that it is the role and function of the Cabinet Member to agree to significant policy issues in relation to their area of responsibility. Paragraph 17 of this part delegates to the Cabinet Member for Regeneration and New Homes (new title since 2018 elections is Cabinet Member for Growth, Development and Planning) responsibility for agreeing broad consultation arrangements, in relation to their areas of responsibility.
63. Decision 8 of (Table A), paragraph 16, of the Council's Neighbourhood Planning Decision Making report dated 6 March 2015 clearly states that the decision to consider recommendations made by an Examiner can be taken by Individual Cabinet Member decision (IDM). Decision 9 of this same report also clearly sets out that if the Council is satisfied the NDP complies with the relevant legislation and has met all the necessary criteria then a referendum must be held and such decision will be taken by Individual Cabinet Member decision (IDM). It is therefore considered that the recommendations sought in this report fall within the Cabinet Member's decision-making remit.

#### **Strategic Director of Finance and Governance (PW18/012)**

64. This report is requesting the Cabinet Member for Growth, Development and Planning to note the Examiner's report on the draft South Bank and Waterloo neighbourhood development plan and the Examiner's recommendation and his proposed modifications.
65. This report is also requesting the Cabinet Member for Growth, Development and Planning to agree the recommendations 2, 3, 4 and 5, as mentioned in the report above.
66. This report is also requesting the Cabinet Member for Growth, Development and Planning to grant delegated authority to the Director of Planning for the recommendations 6 and 7, as mentioned in the report above.
67. The strategic director of finance and governance notes that there are no new immediate financial implications arising from this report. Should the Neighbourhood Plan for SoWN proceed to the referendum stage, it will be subject to future IDM report, including identifying resources for any new commitments.
68. Staffing and any other costs connected with this report are to be contained within existing departmental revenue budgets.

## Equalities Impact Assessment

69. The Council consider an Equalities Impact Assessment will not be needed for this occasion. As SoWN has complied with subsection (7) of section 61F in the 1990 Act,

## BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
The Localism Act	<a href="http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/contents/enacted">http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/20/contents/enacted</a>	<a href="mailto:planpolall@southwark.gov.uk">planpolall@southwark.gov.uk</a>
The Neighbourhood Planning Regulations	<a href="http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/637/contents/made">http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/637/contents/made</a>	<a href="mailto:planpolall@southwark.gov.uk">planpolall@southwark.gov.uk</a>

## APPENDICES

No.	Title
Appendix A	Draft South Bank and Waterloo Neighbourhood Plan
Appendix B	Examiners Report
Appendix C	Proposed decision on Examiner's recommendations
Appendix D	Consideration of draft NDP against basic conditions
Appendix E	Consideration of draft NDP with provisions made by or under sections 38A and 38B of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
Appendix F	Equalities Impact Assessment

## AUDIT TRAIL

<b>Lead Officer</b>	Simon Bevan Director of Planning	
<b>Report Author</b>	Juliet Seymour Planning Policy Manager	
<b>Version</b>	Final	
<b>Dated</b>	Thursday 27 June 2019	
<b>Key Decision?</b>	No	
<b>CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER</b>		
<b>Officer Title</b>	<b>Comments sought</b>	<b>Comments included</b>
Director of Law and Democracy	Yes	Yes
Strategic Director of Finance and Governance	Yes	Yes
Cabinet Member	No	No
<b>Date final report sent to Constitutional Team</b>		3 July 2019